

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the Application is respectfully requested.

In the subject office action, claims 1-15 were rejected. In response, Applicants have cancelled claims 2-3 and amended claims 1, 4-12, and 14-15. Accordingly, claims 1 and 4-15 are now pending.

Drawings

The Examiner objects to certain of the drawings for including reference characters not mentioned in the description. In response, the Applicants have amended the Specification to include reference to characters 1025, 1045, and 1300C. Applicants respectfully submit that the other characters mentioned by the Examiner, 1110A, 1110B, 1110C, and 1110D are already mentioned in the description on page 21, lines 16-26.

Claim Objections

The Examiner objects to claim 1 for lacking antecedent basis. In response, Applicants have amended claim 1, obviating the rejection.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC §102

Claims 1-6 and 9-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being fully anticipated by US Patent Publication Number 2002/0123359 to *Wei et al* (hereinafter “Wei”). In response, Applicants have amended claims 1, 4-6, 9-12, and 14-15, and cancelled claims 2-3, obviating the rejections of claims 2-3.

In particular, claim 1 has been amended to recite:

“receiving, by a framework system server, a request for a service from a client device expressed in terms of one or more concepts of one or more features of the service; directing, by the framework system server, said request to a service providing server, the service providing server further directing the request for service to a plurality of

vendors, each of the plurality of vendors separate and distinct from each other and from the framework system server and the service providing server; receiving, by the framework system server, a response to the request for service from the service providing server, the response including one or more solutions to the request provided by one or more of the plurality of vendors; adapting, by the framework system server, the response to the request for service to the client device utilizing a template corresponding to a feature of the requested service, the template including one or more HTML pages, text pages, images, buttons, actions, calendars, favorites, and data structures; and providing said adapted response to the client device.”

Applicants submit that Wei fails to teach at least one of the recited operations, “adapting, by the framework system server, the response to the request for service to the client device utilizing a template corresponding to a feature of the requested service, the template including one or more HTML pages, text pages, images, buttons, actions, calendars, favorites, and data structures.” While Wei does teaches search templates of the cell phone, no mention is made of a server, separate and distinct from the client device/cell phone, adapting a response to a request for service to the client device utilizing a template.

Accordingly, claim 1 is not anticipated by Wei under 35 U.S.C. §102(e).

Claims 4-6 and 9-15 depend from claim 1, incorporating its limitations. Therefore, for at least the same reasons, claims 4-6 and 9-15 are not anticipated by Wei under 35 U.S.C. §102(e).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC §103

Claims 7-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Wei, as applied to claim 1, further in view of the Background of the Invention of U.S. Patent Publication Number 2002/0107891 to *Leamon et al* (hereinafter “Leamon”).

As stated above, Wei fails to teach or suggest required, recited operations of the present invention, as claimed in claim 1. Thus, even when combined with Leamon, the cited art fails to suggest novel features that are noted when the invention of claim 1 is viewed as a whole.

Claims 7-8 depend from claim 1, incorporating its limitations. Consequently, claims 7-8 are patentable over the combination of Wei and Leamon.

Miscellaneous

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner's attention to the fact that the primary inventor is Roundtree (not Clark), and request the record be updated to reflect this fact.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 and 4-15 are in condition for allowance, and early issuance of the Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overages to Deposit Account No. 500393.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.



Robert C. Peck
Registration No. 56,826

Dated: 05/25/2006

Pacwest Center, Suite 1900
1211 SW Fifth Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: 503-222-9981